Assessing the Adequacy of Past Antidepressant Trials: A Clinician's Guide to the Antidepressant Treatment Response Questionnaire

Martin Desseilles, MD, PhD; Janet Witte, MD, MPH; Trina E. Chang, MD, MPH; Nadia Iovieno, MD; Christina M. Dording, MD; Heidi Ashih, MD, PhD; Maren Nyer, PhD; Marlene P. Freeman, MD; Maurizio Fava, MD; and David Mischoulon, MD, PhD

 \mathbf{M} any depressed patients do not remit on antidepressant medication despite an adequate dosage and a sufficient duration of treatment. This has spawned endeavors to define *treatment-resistant depression* as a depressive episode that has shown insufficient response to 1 or more adequate trials of an antidepressant. What constitutes *insufficient*, *inadequate*, or *partial response* is still a matter of debate. Recently, an operational classification of degree of treatment resistance was proposed, with categorical distinctions defined by the percent symptom reduction from baseline as follows: nonresponse, <25% reduction; partial response, 25%-49% reduction; and response without remission, \geq 50% reduction but without achievement of remission.

To determine the adequacy and outcome of treatment in a way that can be communicated among clinicians and researchers, it is crucial to employ a reliable and valid instrument. While historical rating of treatment is not as accurate as a prospective trial, there are many instances in which a decision is needed before the next trial is carried out. Several tools have been proposed, such as the Antidepressant Treatment History Form (ATHF),³ the Harvard Antidepressant Treatment History (HATH),⁴ and the Massachusetts General Hospital Antidepressant Treatment Response Questionnaire (ATRQ). ^{1,5} The ATHF and HATH have the advantage of integrating clinical judgment in the assessment of treatment resistance, but these questionnaires are quite burdensome. ⁵ The ATRQ (Appendix 1) and accompanying questions are meant to provide clinicians with a user-friendly tool for assessment of prior treatment.

It is often the case that a patient remembers whether a past treatment was somewhat helpful, although it is frequently difficult for

him or her to remember exactly which antidepressant was taken, how long it was taken, and at what dose. However, it is important that the clinician explore past trials thoroughly using a systematic approach in order to characterize the adequacy of the trial and the degree of improvement. The clinician version of the ATRQ^{1,5} examines the adequacy of duration and dose of prior and current antidepressant treatments in a step-by-step procedure. It cannot be emphasized enough that, when assessing the duration and dose of clinical trials of antidepressants, clinicians must always inquire about *adherence* to each treatment trial. In addition, the ATRQ assesses the degree of improvement (in the most efficacious trial or in all trials during the current episode, depending on the version of the instrument) on a scale from 0% (not improved at all) to 100% (completely improved) (Table 1).

The previous treatment may have been monotherapy, an augmentation trial, a trial of 2 antidepressants (combination therapy), or a switch to another antidepressant. Our group has adopted conventions to define resistance to each of these approaches. At the outset it is noted that the doses and durations required for adequate treatment are based on expert consensus¹ rather than systematic research. We define an adequate monotherapy trial as a trial lasting at least 6 weeks at a minimum effective dose. In the context of this initial trial, the adequate duration for augmentation or combination treatment is at least 3 weeks. However, a combination trial from the onset (ie, starting with 2 drugs together) must be 6 weeks. Six weeks is also required for a switch to a different antidepressant. Each of these trials is considered a new trial. An increase in dose for at least 4 weeks represents optimization and is not considered a new or separate trial. However, if remission is later followed by relapse, a dose increase represents a new trial for the new episode.

We recognize that the conventions used to define adequate dose and duration are not established and that other conventions, for example, a duration of 12 weeks, might be preferred. The ATRQ can be adapted to accommodate various definitions of dose and duration. Likewise, the threshold of resistance in terms of percent response may vary, depending on the questions being asked within a given clinical trial.

Table 1. Efficacy/Duration/Dose ("EDD") Questions to Ask to Determine Treatment Resistance for Each Antidepressant Used

Used							
Efficacy	 Did the medication help at all? If no, then this is a clear nonresponse. If yes, ask the following: Did it help a lot, say, made you feel more than 50% better? If yes, this is a response. Did it help a little, say, made you feel somewhere between 25% and 50% better? 						
D uration	If yes, this is a partial response; if no, this is a nonresponse 1. For how long did you take the medication? If the patient isn't sure, prompt as follows: 2. Would you say you were on it for at least a month? 3. What about longer than that, say, at least 2 months? 4. Longer than that? (This should give a good estimate of the adequacy of the treatment period.)						
Dose	1. Do you remember what dose you took? If the patient isn't sure, prompt as follows: 2. Were you on a single nill per day?						

3. Was your dose increased at any time? (This should give an idea of whether the patient stayed on a starting dose

or received a higher dose.)

Clinical Vignettes

The following 3 vignettes are provided as examples of how the ATRQ might be used to assess patients.

 After an initial improvement of 30% on treatment with sertraline 100 mg/d for 2 months, a patient is prescribed augmentation with lithium for 4 weeks, resulting in a 60% improvement (from the original pretreatment clinical state). This would be scored as an initial failed trial (sertraline), followed by a response to the lithium augmentation trial. This patient would not be considered treatment-resistant because of the response to the augmentation strategy.



- 2. A patient tried an antidepressant a few years ago at an adequate dose for several months, and it worked very well (80% improvement). He felt so much better that he stopped taking it. After several months, he experienced a recurrence of depression. He resumed the same medication, this time with only 20% improvement.
 - This would be considered as a successful trial of the antidepressant for an initial episode of major depressive disorder (MDD), followed by a failed trial of the same antidepressant for a subsequent episode of MDD. This patient would thus be considered resistant to antidepressant treatment during the current episode.
- 3. Six months after an initial response to citalogram 20 mg/d, a patient experiences a recurrence of symptoms, which is followed by a dose increase to 40 mg/d for 3 weeks. At the time of interview, the patient reports an improvement of 40%. Tachyphylaxis ("poop-out") represents a special challenge in assessing resistance. There is evidence that increasing the dose leads to response in approximately two-thirds of patients relapsing during antidepressant treatment.⁶ Since the duration of treatment with the increased dose was too short to be counted as an adequate trial, the patient is not considered to be currently failing a trial. For the purpose of establishing eligibility for a clinical trial in treatmentresistant depression, the current medication dose (40 mg/d) should be continued for 1 additional week, and the patient should then be reassessed. If the patient has not responded after 4 weeks or more of an increased dose, the patient is considered resistant to a single trial of a higher dose.

It is crucial to obtain a precise antidepressant history for both research and clinical practice. We propose that the ATRQ and the accompanying questions (with the mnemonic "EDD") can be used for this purpose in order to facilitate treatment decisions in clinical practice and systematize screening and patient selection for clinical trials in treatment-resistant depression.

Author affiliations: Cyclotron Research Centre, University of Liège, Belgium (Dr Desseilles); and Clinical Trial Network and Institutes and Depression Clinical and Research Program, Massachusetts General Hospital, Harvard Medical School, Boston (all authors). Potential conflicts of interest: Dr Witte has received research support from AstraZeneca, CeNeRx, Forest, Pfizer, Johnson & Johnson, Bristol-Myers Squibb, and Euthymics. Dr Chang has received grant/research support from AstraZeneca, Pfizer, CeNeRx, Euthymics, Forest, and Johnson & Johnson and has been on the advisory board of Bristol-Myers Squibb. Dr Dording has received research support from Abbott, Alkermes, Aspect Medical Systems, AstraZeneca, Bristol-Myers Squibb, Cephalon, Eli Lilly, Forest, GlaxoSmithKline, Johnson & Johnson, Lichtwer, Lorex, Novartis, Organon, PamLab, Pfizer, Pharmavite, Roche, Sanofi-Aventis, Solvay, Synthelabo, and Wyeth-Ayerst; has been an advisor/consultant for Takeda (not currently); and has been a speaker for Wyeth-Ayerst (not currently). Dr Freeman has been a consultant for Bristol-Myers Squibb; has received grant/research support from Eli Lilly, Forest, and GlaxoSmithKline; and consulted on an advisory board in June 2011 for Bristol-Myers Squibb. Dr Fava has received research support from Abbott, Alkermes, Aspect Medical Systems, AstraZeneca, BioResearch, BrainCells, Bristol-Myers Squibb, Cephalon, CeNeRx BioPharma, Clinical Trials Solutions, Clintara, Covidien, Eli Lilly, EnVivo, Euthymics, Forest, Ganeden Biotech, GlaxoSmithKline,

Icon Clinical Research, 13 Innovus/Ingenix, Johnson & Johnson, Lichtwer Pharma GmbH, Lorex, NARSAD, National Center for Complementary and Alternative Medicine, National Institute on Drug Abuse, National Institute of Mental Health, Novartis, Organon, PamLab, Pfizer, Pharmavite, Photothera, Roche, RCT Logic, Sanofi-Aventis, Shire, Solvay, Synthelabo, and Wyeth-Ayerst; has been an advisor/consultant to Abbott, Affectis, Alkermes, Amarin, Aspect Medical Systems, AstraZeneca, Auspex, Bayer, Best Practice Project Management, BioMarin, Biovail, BrainCells, Bristol-Myers Squibb, CeNeRx BioPharma, Cephalon, Clinical Trials Solutions, CNS Response, Compellis, Cypress, DiagnoSearch Life Sciences, Dainippon Sumitomo, Dov, Edgemont, Eisai, Eli Lilly, ePharmaSolutions, EPIX, Euthymics Bioscience, Fabre-Kramer, Forest, GenOmind, GlaxoSmithKline, Grunenthal GmbH, i3 Innovus/ Ingenis, Janssen, Jazz, Johnson & Johnson, Knoll, Labopharm, Lorex, Lundbeck, MedAvante, Merck, MSI Methylation Sciences, Naurex, Neuronetics, NextWave, Novartis, Nutrition 21, Orexigen, Organon, Otsuka, PamLab, Pfizer, PharmaStar, Pharmavite, PharmoRx, Precision Human Biolaboratory, Prexa, Puretech Ventures, PsychoGenics, Psylin Neurosciences, Rexahn, Ridge Diagnostics, Roche, RCT Logic, Sanofi-Aventis, Sepracor, Servier, Schering-Plough, Solvay, Somaxon, Somerset, Sunovion, Supernus, Synthelabo, Takeda, Tal Medical, Tetragenex, TransForm, Transcept, and Vanda; has speaking/publishing-related disclosures for Adamed, Advanced Meeting Partners, American Psychiatric Association, American Society of Clinical Psychopharmacology, AstraZeneca, Belvoir Media Group, Boehringer Ingelheim, Bristol-Myers Squibb, Cephalon, CME Institute/Physicians Postgraduate Press, Eli Lilly, Forest, GlaxoSmithKline, Imedex, MGH Psychiatry Academy/ Primedia, MGH Psychiatry Academy/Reed Elsevier, Novartis, Organon, Pfizer, PharmaStar, United BioSource, and Wyeth-Ayerst; has equity holdings in Compellis; has a patent for Sequential Parallel Comparison Design (SPCD) and a patent application for a combination of azapirones and bupropion in major depressive disorder (MDD); has received copyright royalties for the MGH Cognitive & Physical Functioning Questionnaire, Sexual Functioning Inventory, Antidepressant Treatment Response Questionnaire, Discontinuation-Emergent Signs & Symptoms, and SAFER; has a patent for research and licensing of SPCD with RCT Logic; and has received income from Lippincott, Williams & Wilkins and World Scientific Publishing. Dr Mischoulon has received research support for clinical trials from Amarin (Laxdale), Bristol-Myers Squibb, Cederroth, Lichtwer Pharma GmbH, Nordic Naturals, Ganeden, Fisher-Wallace, and Swiss Medica; has received consulting and writing honoraria from Pamlab; has received speaking honoraria from Bristol-Myers Squibb, Nordic Naturals, Virbac, and Pamlab, as well as from Reed Medical Education (which is a logistics collaborator for the MGH Psychiatry Academy); and has received royalties from Back Bay Scientific for PMS Escape and from Lippincott Wilkins & Williams for the textbook Natural Medications for Psychiatric Disorders: Considering the Alternatives. Drs Desseilles, Iovieno, Ashih, and Nyer report no potential conflict of interest. Funding/support: Dr Desseilles is supported by the National Funds for Scientific Research of Belgium. Additional support was provided by the Belgian American Educational Foundation. Corresponding authors: Martin Desseilles, MD, PhD, Cyclotron Research Centre, University of Liège B30, 8 Allée du 6 Août, B-4000 LIEGE, Belgium (m.desseilles@ulg.ac.be), or David Mischoulon, MD, PhD, Depression Clinical and Research Program, Massachusetts General Hospital, One Bowdoin Square, 6th Fl, Boston, MA 02114 (dmischoulon@partners.org).

REFERENCES

- Fava M. Diagnosis and definition of treatment-resistant depression. Biol Psychiatry. 2003;53(8):649-659.
- Fava M, Davidson KG. Definition and epidemiology of treatmentresistant depression. Psychiatr Clin North Am. 1996;19(2):179–200.
- Sackeim HA. The definition and meaning of treatment-resistant depression. J Clin Psychiatry. 2001;62(suppl 16):10–17.
- Nierenberg AA, Keck PE, Samson J, et al. Refractory depression. In: Amsterdam JD, ed. Methodological Considerations for the Study of Treatment-Resistant Depression. New York, NY: Raven Press; 1991:1-12.
- Chandler GM, Iosifescu DV, Pollack MH, et al. Validation of the Massachusetts General Hospital Antidepressant Treatment History Questionnaire (ATRQ). CNS Neurosci Ther. 2010;16(5):322–325.
- Schmidt ME, Fava M, Zhang S, et al. Treatment approaches to major depressive disorder relapse, part 1: dose increase. Psychother Psychosom. 2002;71(4):190–194.

J Clin Psychiatry 2011;72(8):1152-1154 (doi:10.4088/JCP.11ac07225) © Copyright 2011 Physicians Postgraduate Press, Inc.

Appendix 1 appears on page 1154.

AMERICAN SOCIETY OF CLINICAL PSYCHOPHARMACOLOGY CORNER

Appendix 1. Massachusetts General Hospital Antidepressant Treatment Response Questionnaire

Please indicate the correct answer to the following questions:

- (1) Have you received any treatment with medications since the beginning of THIS CURRENT episode or period of depression? Please circle the correct answer. YES NΩ
- (2) If YES, please review the list below and put a check next to any medication(s) that you have taken for at least 6 or 10 weeks during THIS episode or period of depression.
- (3) Of those medication(s) that you have checked from the list, please put a second check next to those that you have taken at a dosage equal to or greater than the minimum dosage listed for that medication.
- (4) Of those medication(s) that you have checked from the list, please put a third check next to those that you have taken with another drug (eg, buspirone [Buspar], lithium, psychostimulants such as methylphenidate [Ritalin], atypical antipsychotics such as olanzapine [Zyprexa]) added to augment or boost the antidepressant effect.
- (5) Of the medications that you have checked, please write below the name of the one that you feel helped you the most with your depression:

(6)	If a rating of 100 is "completely improved" and 0 is "not improved at all," how close to 100 did you get on this medication?
	Please put a check next to the answer that best applies to you.

_d) More than 75% improved b) Between 25% and 49% improved ______c) Between 50% and 75% improved __ a) Less than 25% improved __

List of Antidenressant Medications

<u>Drug Class</u> Brand Name	Generic Name	At Least 6 Weeks	or	At Least 10 Weeks	Minimum Dose	Equal to or Greater Than	Maximum Dose	Equal to or Greater Than	Drug Was Added to Augment or Boost Effect
Tricyclic Antidepressants									
Adapin	doxepin				150 mg/d		250 mg/d		
Anafranil	clomipramine	***************************************			150 mg/d		250 mg/d		
Asendin	amoxapine				150 mg/d		250 mg/d		
Endep/Elavil	amitriptyline				150 mg/d		250 mg/d		
Ludiomil	maprotiline				150 mg/d		250 mg/d		
Norpramin	desipramine				150 mg/d		250 mg/d		
Pamelor	nortriptyline			***************************************	75 mg/d		125 mg/d		
Sineguan	doxepin				150 mg/d	***************************************	250 mg/d	***********	
Surmontil	trimipramine				150 mg/d		250 mg/d		
Tofranil 4	imipramine				150 mg/d		250 mg/d		
Vivactil	protriptyline				30 mg/d		60 mg/d		
Azafen	pipofezine				150 mg/d		300 mg/d		
Agedal/Elronon	noxiptiline				100 mg/d		200 mg/d		
Monoamine Oxidase Inhibitors (M	,								***************************************
	isocarboxazid				30 mg/d		60 mg/d	-	
Marplan		****			_	-444-44	90 mg/d		www.ww.du/10444
Nardil	phenelzine	·			45 mg/d		60 mg/d		***************************************
Parnate	tranylcypromine				30 mg/d 6 mg/24 hrs		12 mg/24 hrs		***************************************
Emsam	selegiline patch				•		600 mg/d		
Aurorix	moclobemide	,			300 mg/d		300 mg/d		
Pirazidol	pirlindole	***************************************		***************************************	200 mg/d		300 sig/u		
Selective Serotonin Reuptake Inhi									
Luvox	fluvoxamine				50 mg/d		150 mg/d		
Paxil	paroxetine	 			20/25 mg/d		60/75 mg/d		***************************************
Prozac	fluoxetine				20 mg/d		60 mg/d		
Zoloft	sertraline				50 mg/d		150 mg/d		
Celexa	citalopram				20 mg/d		60 mg/d		
Lexapro	escitalopram			*******************	10 mg/d		30 mg/d		
Serotonin-Norepinephrine Reupta	ke Inhibitors (SNRIs)				ų				
Effexor	venlafaxine			·	150 mg/d		250 mg/d	7	
Cymbalta	duloxetine				60 mg/d		120 mg/d	***************************************	
Pristig	desvenlafaxine				50 mg/d	****	100 mg/d	49	}
Savella	milnacipran				100 mg/d	***************************************	200 mg/d		
Other Antidepressants	•								
Viibryd	vilazodone				40 mg/d		80 mg/d		
Desyrel	trazodone			***************************************	300 mg/d		600 mg/d		LANGE AND ADDRESS OF THE PARTY.
Serzone	nefazodone			***************************************	300 mg/d		600 mg/d		
Wellbutrin	bupropion	4			300 mg/d		450 mg/d		***************************************
Remeron	mirtazapine				15 mg/d	***************************************	45 mg/d		
Valdoxan	agomelatine	***************************************		***************************************	25 mg/d		50 mg/d		***************************************
Stablon	tianeptine	***************************************			37.5 mg/d		75 mg/d		
Edronax	reboxetine	***************************************			4 mg/d		8 mg/d		**************************************
Bolvidon/Depnon, Norval/Tolvon	mianserin			***************************************	30 mg/d		90 mg/d		***************************************
Insiden	opipramol			***************************************	150 mg/d		300 mg/d		
	• •				-		_	MA	
Did you receive electro-convulsiv Did you ever receive yagal nerve	<u>e treatment (ECT) d</u> stimulation (VNS) (u ring the c or deep brain	urre stim	πτ episode (β ulation (DBS)	nease circle the (please circle t	<u>correct answer)</u> he correct answe	; YES <u>r)</u> ; YES	NO NO	